

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/635,615	08/07/2003	Chong-Oh Kim	P24028	9591
7055	7590 04/03/2006		EXAMINER	
GREENBLUM & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C. 1950 ROLAND CLARKE PLACE			LUSTUSKY, SARA	
RESTON, V			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3735	

DATE MAILED: 04/03/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		$\mathcal{M}$
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/635,615	KIM ET'AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit '
	Sara Lustusky	3735
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Ci after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNI FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a on. period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	07 August 2003.	
•	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice un		
Disposition of Claims		•
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-11 are subject to restriction and	hdrawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to	o the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control of the control		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		·
12) ⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) ⊠ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents of the priority documents of the priority documents of the certified copies of the application from the International Between the attached detailed Office action for a second content of the certified copies of the application from the International Between the attached detailed Office action for a second content of the certified copies of the certified copies of the certified copies of the priority documents o	ments have been received. ments have been received in A priority documents have been ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No  n received in this National Stage .
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	· —	Summary (PTO-413)
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>	~, ¬, , , ,	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/635,615

Art Unit: 3735

## Oath/Declaration

The oath or declaration is defective. A new oath or declaration in compliance with 37 CFR 1.67(a) identifying this application by application number and filing date is required. See MPEP §§ 602.01 and 602.02.

The oath or declaration is defective because:

It does not identify the foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate on which priority is claimed pursuant to 37 CFR 1.55, and any foreign application having a filing date before that of the application on which priority is claimed, by specifying the application number, country, day, month and year of its filing.

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-5 and 10-11, drawn to radioactive magnetic fluids, classified in class 600, subclass 4.
- II. Claims 6-9, drawn to the process for preparing magnetic nanoparticles, classified in class 427, subclass 127.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the process disclosed in claims 6-9 does not make radioactive nanoparticles; for example, Group I requires radioactive Cu.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to attorney Arnold Turk on March 23, 2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one

Application/Control Number: 10/635,615 Page 4

Art Unit: 3735

or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

## **Contact Information**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sara Lustusky whose telephone number is (571) 272 8965. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 9 - 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patricia Bianco can be reached on (571) 272 4940. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

S.E.L. 03/27/06

PATRICIA BIANCO
PRIMARY EXAMINER